	Application No	o. Applicant	(s)
Evaminar Initiated Intension Summi	08/454,529	HOGAN E	T AL.
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Marjorie A. Mor	ran 1631	
All Participants:	Status of Ap	pplication:	
(1) <u>Marjorie A. Moran</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Charles Capellari</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 23 March 2006	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:] Applicant's representat No	ive)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: Possible double-patenting			
Claims discussed: All pending			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	: GENERAL NATURE O	F WHAT WAS DISCUSS	SED:
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The examiner will property	provide a written summar pstance of the interview,	ry of the substance since the interview
•			
Mayoran			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Rep	oresentative Signature – if	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In a series of interviews on 3/16/06 and 3/23/06, the examiner informed Mr. Capellari that the only outsanding issue with regard to the pending claims are double-patenting (ODP) over 08/455,990. Possible amendments were discussed and an agreement reached which would obviate the ODP.